Chairman Greenheck called the meeting to order and welcomed the visitors and press. Roll call found all members present.

Revered Church Hayes, Pastor of the Church of the Nazarene, Richland Center, gave the Invocation. The County Clerk led the Pledge of Allegiance.

The Clerk read the agenda for the July session. Motion by Pfeil, second by Deets that the agenda be approved and that the Wednesday mail-out rule be set aside so that the resolutions which were not mailed out could be acted upon at this session. Motion carried.

Chairman Greenheck asked if any member desired that the minutes for the previous session be read or if any member desired to amend the minutes from the previous session. Hearing no motion to read or amend the minutes for the June 2006 session, the minutes were declared as approved.

Ordinance No. 06-19 Amendment # 219 To Richland County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance No. 5 Relating To The Rehbein Parcel In The Town Of Forest was presented to the Board. Motion by Marshall, second by Clausius that Ordinance No. 06-19 be enacted. Zoning Administrator Harriet Pedley explained that Ken and Sarah Rehbein are requesting that approximately two acres be rezoned. She noted that there is an existing manufactured home on the site. Roll call vote. AYES: Gorman, Kirkpatrick, Deets, Carroll, Seep, Pfeil, Wunnicke, Goplin, Havlik, Clausius, Sowle, Ferguson, Cook, Daughenbaugh, Clary, Wyman, Wiedenfeld, Lewis, Marshall, Rasmussen, Greenheck. Ayes 21. Noes 0. Total 21. Motion carried and ordinance declared enacted.

ORDINANCE NO. 06-19

Amendment # 219 To Richland County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance No. 5 Relating To The Rehbein Parcel In The Town Of Forest.

The Richland County Board of Supervisors does hereby ordain as follows:

1. The County Board, having considered the following factors, hereby finds that the following rezoning is in the best interests of the citizens of Richland County:

- (a) Adequate public facilities to serve the development are present or will be provided.
- (b) Provision of these facilities will not be an unreasonable burden to local government.
- (c) The land to be rezoned is suitable for development and development will not cause unreasonable water or air pollution, soil erosion or adverse effects on rare or irreplaceable natural areas.
- (d) Non-farm development will be directed to non-agricultural soils or less productive soils.
- (e) Non-farm development will be directed to areas where it will cause minimum disruption of established farm operations or damage to environmentally sensitive areas.
- (f) Non-farm development will be encouraged to locate so as to leave a maximum amount of farmland in farmable size parcels.
- (g) Non-farm residential development will be directed to existing platted subdivisions and sanitary districts.

2. Richland County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance No. 5, which was adopted by the Richland County Board of Supervisors on May 20, 2003, as amended to date, is hereby further amended as follows:

That the official maps designating district boundaries, as adopted by Richland County Ordinance 1985 No. 1 (also known as Amendment No. 1 to the Richland County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance No. 3), which was adopted on March 19, 1985, are hereby amended as follows:

That the following-described real estate in the Town of Forest is hereby changed from the General Agricultural and Forestry District to the R-1 Residential District:

A parcel of land located in the Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section Six, Township Twelve North, Range Two West, Town of Forest, Richland County, Wisconsin; bounded and described as follows:

Commencing at the Northwest corner of said Section 6; thence South 89°58'58" East, 869.82 feet, along the North line of the Northwest Quarter, to the point of beginning; thence South 51°33'41" East, 442.25 feet; thence North 81°44'35" East, 224.64 feet, to the centerline of a town road; thence North 23°24'52" West, 111.96 feet, along said centerline, to a point of curvature of a curve; thence Northwesterly, 144.56 feet, along said centerline and an arc of said curve, said arc being concave to the Northeast having a radius of 425.00 feet and a chord bearing North 13°40'21" West, 143.87 feet, to said North line; thence North 89°58'58" West, 490.22 feet, along said North line, to the point of beginning.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this Ordinance shall be effective on July 18, 2006.

Dated: July 18, 2006	ORDINANCE OFFERED BY THE ZONING	
Passed: July 18, 2006	COMMITTEE	
Published:		
		FOR AGAINST
Ann M. Greenheck, Chairman		
Richland County Board of Supervisors	Richard Rasmussen	Х
	Bruce E. Wunnicke	Х
ATTEST:	Carol Clausius	Х
Victor V. Vlasak	Marilyn Marshall	Х
Richland County Clerk	-	

Ordinance No. 06-20 Amendment # 220 To Richland County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance No. 5 Relating To The Mohr Parcel In The Town Of Eagle was presented to the Board. Motion by Pfeil, second by Clausius that Ordinance No. 06-20 be enacted. Motion by Seep, second by Deets to amend the ordinance to state that the parcel is located in the Town of "Eagle". Motion carried. Zoning Administrator Pedley explained that Ken Mohr is requesting that approximately 4.5 acres be rezoned to allow him to construct a garage/shed on his property that would be larger than allowed in the Residential-1 district. Roll call vote. AYES: Kirkpatrick, Deets, Carroll, Seep, Pfeil, Wunnicke, Goplin, Havlik, Clausius, Sowle, Ferguson, Cook, Daughenbaugh, Clary, Wyman, Wiedenfeld, Lewis, Marshall, Rasmussen, Greenheck, Gorman. Ayes 21. Noes 0. Total 21. Motion carried and ordinance, as amended, declared enacted.

ORDINANCE NO. 06-20 (Amended)

Amendment # 220 To Richland County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance No. 5 Relating To The Mohr Parcel In The Town Of Eagle.

The Richland County Board of Supervisors does hereby ordain as follows:

Richland County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance No. 5, which was adopted by the Richland County Board of Supervisors on May 20, 2003, as amended to date, is hereby further amended as follows:

That the official maps designating district boundaries, as adopted by Richland County Ordinance 1985 No. 1 (also known as Amendment No. 1 to the Richland County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance No. 3), which was adopted on March 19, 1985, are hereby amended as follows:

That the following-described real estate in the Town of Eagle is hereby changed from the R-1 Residential District to the Agricultural and Residential District:

A parcel of land in Northeast (NE) corner of the Northeast quarter (NE ¹/₄) of the Northeast quarter (NE ¹/₄) of Section 31, Township 9 North, Range 1 West, Richland County, Wisconsin, described as follows:

Beginning at the Northeast Corner of Section 31, Township 9 North, Range 1 West, Richland County, Wisconsin;
Thence S 3° 29' 10" E, 572.0 feet along the East Line of said section;
Thence S 85° 29' 30" W, 377.0 feet;
Thence N 3° 29' 28" W 582.13 feet to a point in the centerline of Wisconsin State Highway "60";
Thence N 86° 54' 35" E, 337.0 feet along said centerline to the point of beginning.

EXCEPT lands conveyed to the Wisconsin Department of Transportation for highway purposes.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this Ordinance shall be effective on July 18, 2006.

Dated: July 18, 2006	ORDINANCE OFFERED BY THE ZONING	
Passed: July 18, 2006	COMMITTEE	
Published:		
		FOR AGAINST
Ann M. Greenheck, Chairman		
Richland County Board of Supervisors	Richard Rasmussen	Х
	Bruce E. Wunnicke	Х
ATTEST:	Carol Clausius	Х
Victor V. Vlasak	Marilyn Marshall	Х
Richland County Clerk	-	

Ordinance # 06-21 Regulating The Use Of Land And Restrict The Height Of Structures And Growth Within The Designated Vicinity Of The Tri-County Regional Airport was presented to the Board. Motion by Gorman, second by Rasmussen that Ordinance # 06-21 be enacted. Corporation Counsel Southwick stated that the State Bureau of Aeronautics wants this ordinance to be in place for the airport to continue to be eligible for federal grants. Chairman Greenheck noted that Corporation Counsel Southwick worked hard to protect the land owners rights and property in the area regarding this ordinance. Roll call vote. AYES: Deets, Carroll, Seep, Pfeil, Wunnicke, Goplin, Havlik, Clausius, Sowle, Ferguson, Cook, Daughenbaugh, Clary, Wyman, Wiedenfeld, Lewis, Marshall, Rasmussen, Greenheck, Gorman, Kirkpatrick. Ayes 21. Noes 0. Total 21. Motion carried and ordinance declared enacted.

ORDINANCE # 06-21

An Ordinance Regulating The Use Of Land And Restrict The Height Of Structures And Growth Within The Designated Vicinity Of The Tri-County Regional Airport.

The Richland County Board of Supervisors does hereby ordain as follows:

ZONING ORDINANCE FOR THE TRI-COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT

Section 1 Statutory Authorization and Purpose. (1) This chapter is adopted pursuant to Wis. Stat. ch. 114 and §§ 59.03, 59.04, 59.69, and 66.0301.

(2) The purpose of this ordinance is to regulate the use of property and restrict the height of structures and growth within the designated vicinity of the Tri-County Regional Airport to protect and promote the health, safety, convenience, general welfare, and safety of the public and property in connection with the use and operation of the Airport. This ordinance is intended to implement the Airport Master Plan and comply with state and federal regulations pertaining to aviation. Iowa, Richland and Sauk County each declare in adopting this ordinance that the Tri-County Regional Airport is an essential public facility.

Section 2 Definitions. As used in this ordinance, unless the context otherwise requires:

(1) <u>AIRPORT</u>. The Tri-County Regional Airport located in Section 31, Town 9N, Range 3E, Sauk County, Wisconsin, and owned jointly by Sauk, Iowa and Richland counties.

(2) <u>AIRPORT HAZARD</u>. Any structure or object whether natural or manmade, or use of land that obstructs the air space required for the flight of aircraft in landing or taking off, or is otherwise hazardous to such landing or taking off, or to persons using such land, structure or object.

(3) <u>AIRPORT MASTER PLAN</u>. The master plan for the Airport, also known as the Airport Layout Plan, that provides for the plan for future operations at the Airport, and was adopted by the Tri-County Airport Commission on March 14, 2002 and by the Federal Aviation Administration on December 19, 2001, including any amendments thereto.

(4) <u>ALTERATION</u>. Any construction, reconstruction, renovation or remodeling that would result in the change of height or lateral dimensions of an existing structure.

(5) <u>BOARD OF APPEALS</u>. The zoning board of appeals created pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 114.136 with the powers provided by Wis. Stat. § 62.23(7)(e) and other powers provided by law to hear appeals and grant variances from the terms of this ordinance.

(6) <u>COMMISSION</u>. The Tri-County Airport Commission, a commission created by Iowa, Richland and Sauk counties for the purpose of operating, maintaining and improving the Tri-County Regional Airport.

(7) <u>CONSTRUCTION</u>. The erection or alteration of any structure.

(8) <u>DEVELOPMENT</u>. Any manmade change to real estate including but not limited to construction of, or addition to, buildings, construction of structures, the placement of mobile homes or other movable structures, mining, dredging, filling, grading, paving, excavating, drilling operations and disposal of materials.

(9) <u>GROWTH</u>. Natural vegetation including tress, shrubs, and foliage with the exception of farm crops that are cut at least once each year.

(10) <u>HEIGHT</u>. The distance measured from the surface of the ground to the highest point of any structure or growth.

(11) LOT OF RECORD. A land area designated in a subdivision plat, certified survey map, or described in a conveyance, recorded in the county register of deeds of the county in which the property is located, that complied with zoning regulations in existence when the property was originally divided and/or recorded but which no longer complies with the current minimum land area standards within the applicable zoning district.

(12) MANAGER. The manager of the Tri-County Regional Airport.

(13) <u>NONCONFORMING USE</u>. Any structure, growth or use of land that does not comport with existing land use regulations contained in this chapter, but that was in compliance with previous land use regulations and that existed at the time of adoption of this chapter.

(14) <u>PRIMARY ZONING ORDINANCE</u>. The general zoning ordinance in effect in an area subject to this ordinance.

(15) <u>PRINCIPAL USE</u>. The primary purpose for which a structure or property is utilized and which is permitted by all applicable laws and regulations.

(16) <u>RUNWAY</u>. The portion of the airport having a surface specifically developed, designated and maintained for the landing and take off of aircraft.

(17) <u>STRUCTURE</u>. Any man-made object with form, shape and utility that is either permanently or temporarily constructed, installed or placed on or into the ground.

(18) <u>VARIANCE</u>. A departure from the terms of this ordinance as applied to a specific building, structure or parcel of land, granted by the Board of Appeals upon the applicant proving unnecessary hardship, that permits the construction, alteration, remodeling or use of land that deviates from the requirements of this chapter.

(19) <u>ZONING ADMINISTRATOR</u>. The zoning administrator of Iowa, Richland or Sauk county, depending upon where the parcel of property subject to the terms of this ordinance is located. The zoning administrators of Iowa, Richland and Sauk counties are each responsible for administering and enforcing the terms of this ordinance in their respective counties.

Section 3 General Provisions. (1) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, no use may be made of land or water within any district established herein that would endanger the safe landing, taking off and maneuvering of aircraft within the vicinity of the Airport, or would be injurious to the health, safety and welfare of person using the Airport facilities, including:

(a) Creating electrical or electronic interference to navigational signals, and radio or radar communication between the airport and aircraft or air traffic control systems;

(b) Installing or using slashing or flashing lights, illuminated advertising, illuminated business signs or any illumination that would create a hazard to pilots because of the difficulty distinguishing between airport lights and the non-airport illumination, or that results in glare in pilot's eyes to an extent that it impairs visibility; (c) Emitting or discharging smoke so as to interfere with the safe aviation of aircraft using the Airport.

(2) Persons constructing, purchasing or leasing any land or structures within one (1) mile of the Airport are advised that such land and structures, including dwellings, are situated in the vicinity of the Airport. The Airport, by its customary and normal operations, may cause noise or interfere with the unrestricted use and quiet enjoyment of the property.

Section 4 Airport Zones. All airport zones established by this chapter are shown on the map entitled "Airport Zoning District Map" maintained on file at the Airport and Offices of the Zoning Administrator. Zone One (1) shall be the most restrictive zone and Zone Five (5) is the least restrictive zone.

Section 5 Height Limitation Zones. All height zones established by this chapter are shown on the map entitled "Tri-County Airport Height Limitation Zone Map" that is maintained on file at the Airport and Offices of the Zoning Administrator.

Section 6 District Boundaries. (1) District boundary lines are the centerlines of highways, roads, or other paved right of way, section lines, tract lines, division lines, lot lines, or such other designated line indicated on the Airport Zoning District Map.

(2) When a district line divides a parcel or lot of record in a manner that places that parcel in two different districts, the more restrictive district requirements apply unless the proposed use or development that does not comply with the more restrictive district may be entirely contained on the portion of the parcel that is in the lesser restrictive district, and provided that:

(a) The use is permitted by the primary zoning applicable to that district; and,

(b) The use complies with all setback requirements; and,

(c) A site plan, drawn to scale, shows the location of the use and the district line on the lot or parcel, and such plan is submitted to the Zoning administrator and reviewed and approved pursuant to the procedures provided in this Chapter; and,

(3) Any use of property that is not permitted by the primary zoning ordinance, this chapter, and any federal or state aviation regulations is deemed to be prohibited. Where there is a question regarding whether a particular use is permitted or prohibited, the Commission, on its own initiative or at the request of a property owner, may conduct a study to determine what zone, if any, is appropriate for the proposed use and which conditions, if any, shall apply.

Section 7 Conflict With Other Zoning Districts. The provisions of this chapter operate as an overlay zoning district for land subject to the primary zoning. Whenever there is a conflict between the primary zoning and the overlay zoning provided by this chapter, the more restrictive provisions shall apply.

Section 8 Severability. In any case in which the provision of this Ordinance, although generally reasonable, is held by a court to interfere with the use or enjoyment of a particular structure or parcel of land to such an extent, or to be so onerous in their application to such a structure or parcel of land, as to constitute a taking or deprivation of that property in violation of the constitution of this state or the constitution of the United States, such holding shall not affect the application of this Ordinance as to other structures and parcels of land, and to this end the provisions of this Ordinance are declared to be severable. Should any section or provision of this Ordinance be declared by the courts to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the Ordinance as a whole or any part thereof other than the parts so declared to be unconstitutional or invalid.

Section 9 Airport Runway District (Zone 1). (1) Purpose. The runway district is established to encompass land areas that due to the operation of aircraft will be exposed to excessive noise, are in close proximity to the airport runways and crash hazard area of the airport. The runway district is established to implement the recommendations of the Airport Master Plan, to protect the runway and approaches of the airport from incompatible land uses, to preserve the airport's ability to serve its present and future air transportation needs. Expansions, alterations or enlargements are not subject to zoning regulations of the primary municipality except for building requirements. Any expansion or enlargement of runways must be approved by the Federal Aviation Administration and Wisconsin Department of Transportation, Bureau of Aeronautics.

(2) Permitted uses and structures. Any uses and structures that are directly related to, and necessary for, the functional operation of the Airport, and that are consistent with the Airport Master Plan, as amended, and approved by both the Commission and the Offices of the Zoning Administrator.

(3) Prohibited uses.

(a) Any construction, expansion, alteration or enlargement to any building or structure within this district is prohibited except for those uses and buildings necessary for the functional operation of the airport.

(b) Any use or structure that would create electrical interference with navigational signals or radio communications between the airport and aircraft; create confusion in identifying airport lights; result in glare in the eyes of pilots using the airport; impair visibility in the vicinity of the airport or otherwise endangers or interferes with the landing, take off, or maneuvering of aircraft including but not limited to smoke, dust, lighting, etc

(4) Dimensional Requirements.

(a) Height Limitations. No structure or growth shall exceed the height permitted by this ordinance and as shown on the Tri-County Airport Height Limitation Zone Map.

(b) Setback Requirements. The location of any structure shall comply with Federal Aviation Administration design standards and/or the requirements of the primary zoning ordinance, whichever is more restrictive.

Section 10 High Impact Runway Approach and Departure District (Zone 2).

(1) Purpose. The high impact runway approach and departure district is established in order to set forth requirements in areas that are directly within the flight pattern of aircraft approaching and departing the Airport's runways. This district includes the area identified as Zone 2 on the Airport Zoning District Map.

(2) Permitted Uses. Those uses permitted by the primary zoning district of the primary zoning ordinance except for those uses specifically prohibited by this section.

- (3) Prohibited Uses.
- (a). Single or multifamily residential development
- (b) Hospitals
- (c) Churches
- (d) Schools
- (e) Theaters and amphitheaters.
- (f) Stadiums
- (g) Campgrounds
- (h) Retirement/nursing homes

(i) Wildlife ponds.

(j) Licensed group day care facilities

(k) Any other construction or land use that would encourage the concentration of bird (avian) populations except that customary and reasonable agricultural practices that inadvertently result in a concentration of birds are not prohibited.

(1) Any use or structure that may be susceptible to being adversely affected by loud and extensive noise or would interfere in the use or operation of the airport.

(m) Any use or structure that would create electrical interference with navigational signals or radio communications between the airport and aircraft; create confusion in identifying airport lights; result in glare in the eyes of pilots using the airport; impair visibility in the vicinity of the airport or otherwise endangers or interferes with the landing, take off, or maneuvering of aircraft including but not limited to smoke, dust, lighting, etc

(n) For uses not listed as prohibited uses, the number of employees during typical operating conditions shall not exceed 1 employee per 500 square feet without written approval by the Zoning Administrator and the Commission.

(4) Dimensional Requirements.

(a) Height limitations. No structure or growth shall exceed the height permitted by this ordinance and indicated on the Tri-County Airport Height Limitation Zone Map.

(b) Setback requirements. Setbacks shall comply with the requirements of the district of the primary zoning ordinance.

(c) Minimum area requirements. The minimum lot area provisions of the district of the primary zoning ordinance shall apply to all areas within Zone 2. Any lot which meets the definition of a lot of record under the zoning district of the primary zoning ordinance shall be considered legally buildable even though the lot may not meet the current minimum lot area requirements, and provided the lot is in separate ownership from abutting lands, and the proposed development meets the use restrictions provided in this chapter.

Section 11 Moderate Impact Runway Approach and Departure District (Zone 3). (1)

Purpose. The moderate impact runway approach and departure district is established in order to set forth the land use requirements in areas that are within the flight pattern of aircraft approaching and departing the Airport's runways. This district includes the area identified as Zone 3 on the Airport Zoning Map.

(2) Permitted Uses. Those uses permitted by the primary zoning ordinance except for those uses specifically prohibited by this section.

- (3) Prohibited Uses. The following uses are prohibited within Zone 3:
- (a) Hospitals
- (b) Churches
- (c) Schools
- (d) Theaters and amphitheaters.
- (e) Stadiums
- (f) Campgrounds
- (g) Retirement/nursing homes
- (h) Licensed group day care facilities

(i) Any other construction or land use that would encourage the concentration of bird (avian) populations except that customary and reasonable agricultural practices that inadvertently result in a concentration of birds are not prohibited.

(j) Any use or structure that would create electrical interference with navigational signals or radio communications between the airport and aircraft; create confusion in identifying airport lights; result in glare in the eyes of pilots using the airport; impair visibility in the vicinity of the airport or otherwise endangers or interferes with the landing, take off, or maneuvering of aircraft including but not limited to smoke, dust, lighting, etc.

(4) Dimensional Requirements.

(a) Height Limitations. No structure or growth shall exceed the height permitted by this ordinance and as shown on the Tri-County Airport Height Limitation Zone Map.

(b) Setback Requirements. The setback requirements of the primary zoning ordinances shall apply.

(c) Minimum Area Regulations. The minimum lot area provisions of the primary zoning ordinance shall apply to all areas within Zone 3. Any lot which meets the definition of a lot of record under the primary zoning ordinance shall be considered legally buildable even though the lot may not meet the current minimum lot area requirements, provided that the lot is in separate ownership from abutting land, and further provided that the proposed development meets the use restrictions provided in this chapter.

Section 12 Noise Control Overlay District (Zone 4). (1) Purpose. The Noise Control Overlay District is established to minimize the conflict between allowed uses and the noise generated in this zone due to aviation activities, overflights and use of the Airport as shown on the Airport Zoning District Map.

(a) Permitted Uses. All uses shall conform with the provisions of this ordinance as well as meet the primary zoning ordinance.

(b) Prohibited Uses. Any use or structure that would create electrical interference with navigational signals or radio communications between the airport and aircraft; create confusion in identifying airport lights; result in glare in the eyes of pilots using the airport; impair visibility in the vicinity of the airport or otherwise endangers or interferes with the landing, take off, or maneuvering of aircraft including but not limited to smoke, dust, lighting, etc.

(c) Dimensional Requirements.

1. Height Limitations. No structure or growth shall exceed the height permitted by this ordinance and as shown on the official Height Limitation Map.

2. Setback Requirements. The setback requirements shall meet the setback requirements of the primary zoning ordinance.

3. Minimum Area Regulations. The lot area requirements shall meet the requirements of the primary zoning ordinance.

Section 13 Height Limitation Overlay District (Zone 5). (1) Purpose. The Height Limitation Overlay District is established to protect the approaches to the airport from incompatible land uses by establishing height limitations as shown on Tri-County Airport Height Limitation Zone Map.

(a) Permitted Uses. All uses shall conform with the provisions of this ordinance as well as the primary zoning ordinance.

(b) Prohibited Uses. Any use or structure that would create electrical interference with navigational signals or radio communications between the airport and aircraft; create confusion in identifying airport lights; result in glare in the eyes of pilots using the airport; impair visibility in the vicinity of the airport or otherwise endangers or interferes with the landing, take off, or maneuvering of aircraft including but not limited to smoke, dust, lighting, etc

(c) Dimensional Requirements.

1. Height regulations. No structure or growth shall exceed the height permitted by this ordinance as shown on the Tri-County Airport Height Limitation Zone Map.

2. Setback Requirements. The setback requirements shall meet the requirements of the primary zoning ordinance.

3. Minimum area regulations. The lot area requirements shall meet the requirements of the primary zoning ordinance.

Section 14 Nonconforming Use. (1) The regulations prescribed in this ordinance shall not be construed to require the removal, lowering, change or alteration of any legal nonconforming use, or otherwise interfere with the continuance of any legal nonconforming use, except as otherwise provided by this section.

(2) When a nonconforming use, building, structure or tree is destroyed by fire, explosion, act of God or the public enemy, it may be restored so long as it complies with the primary zoning requirements and the

height limitations imposed by this chapter as verified by a signed statement from the Zoning administrator prior to any such rebuilding, reconstructing or rehabilitation.

(3) Nonconforming uses described in this chapter, except for uses located in Zone 1, may be expanded, altered or otherwise enlarged provided the following conditions are met:

(a) The expansion, alteration or enlargement meets the requirements of the height limitations under this ordinance and a statement showing such compliance is signed by the Zoning Administrator prior to the expansion, alteration or enlargement.

(b) The expansion or alteration in no way creates new, or increases prior existing conditions, that would create electrical interference with navigational signals or radio communications between the airport and aircraft; create confusion in identifying airport lights; result in glare in the eyes of pilots using the airport; impair visibility in the vicinity of the airport or otherwise endangers or interferes with the landing, take off, or maneuvering of aircraft including but not limited to smoke, dust, lighting, etc.

(c) The expansion, alteration or enlargement complies with any more restrictive primary zoning requirements, or state and federal laws or regulations.

(4) Changes. Nothing contained herein shall require any change in the construction, alteration or intended use of any structure, if the construction or alteration of such structure, was commenced prior to the effective date of this ordinance, provided such construction proceeds in a diligent manner as determined by similar building projects.

(5) Nothing in this section shall interfere with, or prevent the removal of, nonconforming uses by purchase or the use of eminent domain.

Section 15 Administration. (1) It shall be the duty of the Zoning Administrator to administer and enforce this chapter. Applications for permits shall be made to the Zoning Administrator of the county in which the proposed use is located, upon an application form furnished by that Zoning Administrator and shall normally be processed within twenty (20) days. Upon receipt by the Zoning Administrator, a copy shall be transmitted to the Chairperson of the Commission and Airport Manager for comment. The Chairperson and Manager shall provide comments to the Zoning Administrator within ten (10) days of receipt. Such applications shall be processed within the prescribed twenty (20) day review period unless Federal Aviation Administration approval under FAR Part 77 is requested by the applicant or the Commission, in which case the Zoning Administrator's action may await determination by the Federal Aviation Administration. Each Zoning Administrator shall cooperate to provide a clear and consistent interpretation and implementation of this Chapter.

Section 16 Permits. (1) No structure or development shall hereafter be constructed, erected, enlarged or installed in any district created by Section 9 through 13 of this ordinance, except for structures that are less than 200 square feet in area and less than 35 feet in height, until the owner or their agent shall have applied in writing for a permit therefore in the manner set forth in Section 17 and obtained such permit from the Zoning Administrator. Forms for application of land use permits shall be supplied by the Zoning Administrator and a record of all permits issued shall be kept on file within the office of the Zoning Administrator.

(2) The Zoning Administrator shall either approve or disapprove the application for development based upon land use recommendations provided by the Commission Chairperson or Manager of the Airport, and the provisions, standards and requirements contained in this ordinance. The application for such permit shall indicate the use for which the permit is desired, and shall describe and locate the use with sufficient particularity to permit the Zoning Administrator to determine whether such use would conform to the regulations of this ordinance.

(3) If the proposed development is approved by the Zoning administrator and meets the building requirements of the affected municipality, a building permit may be issued by the municipality. If the proposed development is not approved, no building permit shall be issued.

(4) Said permit shall be posted in a prominent place on the premises prior to and during the period of construction, erection, installation or establishment.

Section 17 Permit Procedure. (1) All applications for land use permits for construction, reconstruction, expansion or conversion of a use in District Zones 1 through 5 shall be accompanied by a site plan drawn to scale, which shows:

- (a) The location; actual shape and dimensions of the lot to be built upon;
- (b) The exact size and location of the structure on the lot;
- (c) The existing or intended use of the structure;
- (d) The maximum number of occupants the structure is intended to contain;
- (e) The distances between the nearest point of the structure and the centerline of the road;
- (f) The height of the proposed structure;

(g) The ground elevation of the site, except for structures that are less than 35 feet above ground level at the object site within one-half (1/2) mile of the airport boundary, or to structures less than 50 feet in height above the ground level within the area beginning one-half (1/2) mile from the airport boundary and extending to one (1) mile from the airport boundary, or to structures less than 100 feet in height above the ground level within the area beginning one the airport boundary and extending to three (3) miles from the airport boundary;

(h) Any other information deemed necessary by the Zoning administrator to ensure conformance with the provisions of this ordinance.

(2) The Zoning Administrator shall review the site plan and the accompanying material for conformance to this section and shall coordinate additional review as may be appropriate. The Zoning Administrator shall send a copy of the site plan and the accompanying material to any underlying jurisdiction that may have approval authority for their input.

(3) An applicant who wishes to change an approved site plan must obtain the approval of the Zoning Administrator. If the proposed changes result in a revised site plan substantially similar to the approved plan, the Zoning Administrator may approve the site plan changes. If the proposed changes are not substantially similar to the approved plan, such changes shall require the submission of a new and separate land use permit application.

Section 18 Board of Appeals. (1) There is hereby created a Board of Appeals pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 114.136(4) and § 62.23(7)(e) consisting of five members and three alternates as follows:

(a) One individual from Iowa County, two individuals from Richland County and two individuals from Sauk County, who shall also be members of that county's Board of Adjustment, but who shall not own property that is subject to the terms of this ordinance.

(b) Three alternate members, one each from Iowa, Richland and Sauk counties, who shall have the same qualifications as subparagraph (a) above.

(c) Members of the Board of Appeals shall serve three (3) year terms. However, their membership shall terminate if they cease to meet the qualifications contained in (a) above.

(2) The Board of Appeals shall be constituted and have the powers provided for in Wis. Stat. § 62.23(7)(e) as well as the following:

(a) Any person aggrieved or affected by a decision or action of the Zoning Administrator may appeal such decision or action to the Board of Appeals. The Commission may be an aggrieved person.

(b) The Board of Appeals may, in passing upon appeals, grant a variance from the terms of this ordinance. An individual seeking a variance shall file an appeal with the Zoning administrator which shall promptly forward the appeal to the Board. Upon receipt, the Board shall conduct an investigation and public hearing to consider the appeal. The

Board may grant a variance from the terms of this ordinance, provided that the variance is not contrary to the public interest, and:

1. The applicant proves that owing to special conditions unique to that property, a literal enforcement of this ordinance would result in unnecessary hardship as defined by law, that such relief will do substantial justice, and the granting of a variance would be in accordance with the intent of this ordinance. No variance shall be granted that would create a hazard to the safe, customary and normal operation of aircraft using the Airport.

2. The Board of Appeals may condition the grant of any variance upon prior compliance with any reasonable condition, which the Board in its discretion, deems necessary or appropriate under the circumstances, including, but not limited to, the obstruction lighting of objects for which a variance is granted.

(3) Any appeal taken pursuant to this section shall be in conformity with the procedure established by § 62.23(7)(e) Stats.

Section 19 Penalties. (1) The provisions of this ordinance shall be enforced by the County Zoning Administrator in which the property is located with the assistance of the corporation counsel for the county in which the violation occurs.

(a) Violations of this ordinance, or of any regulation, order, or ruling promulgated hereunder, shall constitute an airport hazard and such hazard may be enjoined, abated or removed.

(b) Each day a violation continues shall constitute a separate offense.

(c) Any action commenced to enforce the provisions of this ordinance action shall be prosecuted in the circuit court of the county in which the violation or airport hazard is wholly or partially located.

(d) Any person, firm or corporation found guilty of violating any provision(s) of this ordinance shall, upon conviction thereof, forfeit not less than \$100.00 nor more than \$500.00 for each such offense, together with the costs of prosecution. Each day of a violation of this ordinance shall be considered a separate offense.

(2) The provisions of this ordinance shall be enforced by the corporation counsel for the county in which the violation occurs. The ordinance may be enforced by legal and/or equitable remedies.

Section 20 Fees. Fees for the administration of this ordinance shall be established by the Tri-County Airport Commission with approval of boards of supervisors of the three county owners. It is intended that the fees should cover the reasonable costs of administering this ordinance.

Section 21 Effective Date. This ordinance shall take effect upon passage and publication by all three counties; Iowa, Richland and Sauk.

Dated: July 18, 2006 Passed: July 18, 2006 Published:	ORDINANCE OFFERED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE	
rubiished.		FOR AGAINST
Ann M. Greenheck, Chairman		
Richland County Board of Supervisors	Bruce E. Wunnicke	Х
	Carol Clausius	Х
ATTEST:	Marilyn Marshall	Х
Victor V. Vlasak	Richard Rasmussen	Х
Richland County Clerk		

Symons Recreation Complex Director Denise Hanold gave her annual report and made a power point presentation on the activities provided by the facility, data on membership, revenue and expenditure history and membership rates.

Resolution No. 06-75 Authorizing The County To Apply For And Accept A State Grant For A Treatment Alternative Diversion Program was read by the Clerk. Motion by Wyman, second by Clary that Resolution No. 06-75 be adopted. Tracy Thorsen, Health and Human Services Clinical Services Coordinator, explained that persons eligible for the program would have committed non violent offenses where substance abuse issues related to their crimes. She noted that grant funds would be available for a period of three years. Motion carried and resolution declared adopted

RESOLUTION NO. 06-75

A Resolution Authorizing The County To Apply For And Accept A State Grant For A Treatment Alternative Diversion Program.

WHEREAS the State Office of Justice Assistance has indicated that approximately \$100,000 of grant money may be available for 2007 to pay the entire cost of a program which could help relieve jail overcrowding by providing treatment and diversion for persons who have substance abuse issues, and

WHEREAS, Rule 17 of the Rules of the Board requires County Board approval before any grant can be applied for or accepted, and

WHEREAS the Law Enforcement Committee has carefully considered this matter and is now recommended that the County Board authorize the County's applying for and accepting this grant.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Richland County Board of Supervisors that approval is hereby granted for the County to apply to the State Office of Justice Assistance for a grant of approximately \$100,000 for 2007 to pay the entire cost of a program that could help relieve jail overcrowding by providing treatment and diversion for persons having substance abuse issues, in the form of correctional case management and substance abuse case management and monitoring services, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Sheriff Darrell Berglin is hereby authorized to sign on behalf of the County any documents needed to carry out this Resolution, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that approval is hereby granted for spending the grant funds in accordance with the terms of the grant, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its passage and publication.

RESOLUTION OFFERED BY THE LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE

FOR AGAINST

William J. Seep	Х
Daniel J. Carroll	Х
Fred Clary	Х
Larry D. Wyman	Х

Resolution No. 06-76 Making An Appropriation For General Operations For Pine Valley Healthcare And Rehabilitation Center In The 2006 County Budget was read by the Clerk. Motion by Clausius, second by Carroll that Resolution No. 06-76 be adopted. Roll call vote. AYES: Carroll, Seep, Pfeil, Wunnicke, Goplin, Havlik, Clausius, Sowle, Ferguson, Cook, Daughenbaugh, Clary, Wyman, Wiedenfeld, Lewis, Marshall, Rasmussen, Greenheck, Gorman, Kirkpatrick, Deets. Ayes 21. Noes 0. Total 21. Motion carried and resolution declared adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 06-76

A Resolution Making An Appropriation For General Operations For Pine Valley Healthcare And Rehabilitation Center In The 2006 County Budget.

WHEREAS, in order to maximize the amount of Federal funds which Pine Valley Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center will be eligible to receive between July 1, 2006 and June 30, 2007 under the Intergovernmental Transfer Program, it is necessary for the County Board to make an appropriation of \$94,264.00 for general operations for Pine Valley Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center in the 2006 County budget, and

WHEREAS this appropriation will not result in any expenditure of County tax dollars as the County will receive an amount equaling the amount of this appropriation from the Federal government, and

WHEREAS the Board of Trustees of Pine Valley Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center and the Finance Committee have approved this appropriation and are now recommending its approval by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Richland County Board of Supervisors that \$94,264.00 is hereby appropriated from the General Fund in the 2006 County budget to Pine Valley Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center for general operations purposes, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its passage and publication.

RESOLUTION OFFERED BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

FOR AGAINST

Jeanetta Kirkpatrick	Х
Daniel J. Carroll	Х
Fred Clary	Х
Larry D. Wyman	Х
Bruce E. Wunnicke	Х

Resolution No. 06-77 Authorizing The Fair Improvement Committee To Build A Parking Lot Shelter At The County Fairgrounds was read by the Clerk. Motion by Wiedenfeld, second by Gorman that Resolution No. 06-77 be adopted. Fair Committee Chairman Rasmussen explained that the shelter will be 24' X 36' with a concrete floor and benches inside. Motion carried and resolution declared adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 06-77

A Resolution Authorizing The Fair Improvement Committee To Build A Parking Lot Shelter At The County Fairgrounds.

WHEREAS the Fair Improvement Committee has proposed constructing, at no expense to the County, a parking lot shelter on the East parking lot across County Trunk Highway AA from the County Fairgrounds for use by people who are using the Fairgrounds, and

WHEREAS the Fair Committee has reviewed this proposal and is in favor of it and recommends that the County Board grant its approval for this structure to be built on County-owned real estate.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Richland County Board of Supervisors that permission is hereby granted for the Fair Improvement Committee to build in 2006, at no expense to the County, a parking lot shelter on the East parking lot across County Trunk Highway AA from the Fairgrounds, for use by people who are using the fairgrounds parking lot, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED the plans and specifications for this shelter shall be reviewed and approved by the County Fair Committee before construction begins, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this parking lot structure, once completed, shall belong to the County, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its passage and publication.

RESOLUTION OFFERED BY THE FAIR COMMITTEE

FOR AGAINST

Х
Х
Х
Х
Х

Resolution No. 06-78 Approving Applying For and Accepting A Grant From The Federal Homeland Security Department was read by the Clerk. Motion by Daughenbaugh, second by Carroll that Resolution No. 06-78 be adopted. Questions were raised about the designation of the funding to only certain agencies in the county for purchasing and/or reprogramming radio equipment. Motion carried and resolution declared adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 06-78

A Resolution Approving Applying For and Accepting A Grant From The Federal Homeland Security Department.

WHEREAS the Federal Homeland Security Department, acting through the State Office of Justice Assistance, has advised the County that \$34,176 in grant funds are available to pay 75% of the cost incurred by various law enforcement and emergency response agencies to purchase and/or reprogram radio equipment, and

WHEREAS the Rules of the Board require County Board approval for any grant to be applied for and received by any department of County government, and

WHEREAS the Emergency Management Committee and the Director of the Emergency Management Department, Darin Gudgeon, recommend that the County Board approve applying for and accepting this grant.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Richland County Board of Supervisors that approval is hereby granted for the Emergency Management Department to apply for and accept a grant from the Federal Homeland Security Department, acting through the State Office of Justice Assistance, in the amount of \$34,176 to be spent not later than October 31, 2006, with these funds to be used by the Sheriff's Department, the Richland Center Police Department and the Ithaca First Responders, to pay 75% of those agencies' cost of purchasing and/or reprograming radio equipment, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Darin Gudgeon is hereby authorized to sign on behalf of the County any documents necessary to carry out this Resolution and approval is further granted for the grant funds to be spent in accordance with the terms of the grant, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its passage and publication.

RESOLUTION OFFERED BY THE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

FOR AGAINST

Ann M. Greenheck	Х
Daniel J. Carroll	Х
David J. Daughenbaugh	Х

Resolution No. 06-79 Creating The Position Of Fiscal Specialist For The Business Office At The Department Of Health And Human Services was read by the Clerk. Motion by Pfeil, second by Kirkpatrick that Resolution No. 06-79 be adopted. Health and Human Services Director Randy Jacquet explained the duties that would be assigned to the new position. Motion carried and resolution declared adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 06-79

A Resolution Creating The Position Of Fiscal Specialist For The Business Office At The Department Of Health And Human Services.

WHEREAS the Health and Human Services Board and the Director of the Health and Human Services Department, Randy Jacquet, believe that it is necessary to create a new position of Fiscal Specialist for the Business Office at the Department of Health and Human Services in order to provide fiscal support related to the representative payee program, the Department's payroll, the Birth to Three and Children with Disabilities Programs as well as general fiscal support for the Department's Business Office, and

WHEREAS the Personnel Committee has carefully considered this proposal and is now presenting this Resolution to the County Board for its consideration.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Richland County Board of Supervisors that approval is hereby granted for creating the position in the Courthouse Union of Fiscal Specialist for the Business Office at the Health and Human Services Department, with this position to be in Grade 8 in the Courthouse Union (probationary rate: \$13.74 per hour; after 6 months probation rate: \$14.11 per hour), and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that approval is hereby granted for the job description for this position which is attached to this Resolution, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its passage and publication.

RESOLUTION OFFERED BY THE PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

	FOR A	AGAINST
Jeanetta Kirkpatrick	Х	
Fred Clary		Х
Gaylord L. Deets	Х	
Ann M. Greenheck	Х	

Resolution No. 06-80 Relating to Obtaining A State Grant For The Maintenance And Grooming Of Snowmobile Trails was presented to the Board. Motion by Seep, second by Lewis that Resolution No. 06-80 be adopted. Motion carried and resolution declared adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 06-80

A Resolution Relating to Obtaining A State Grant For The Maintenance And Grooming Of Snowmobile Trails.

WHEREAS State funds are available to counties in Wisconsin for the maintenance and grooming of snowmobile trails under the County Snowmobile Trail Aids Program which is administered by the State Department of Natural Resources (DNR), and

WHEREAS the Richland County/University of Wisconsin Extension Office, working with the Richland County Snowmobile Alliance, Inc., has proposed that Richland County apply for a \$32,700.00 grant for the purpose of maintaining and grooming the approximately 130.8 miles of snowmobile trails in Richland County for the winter of 2006-2007, and

WHEREAS Rule 17 of the Board requires that all contracts which involve the expenditure of more than \$5,000.00 must be approved by the County Board and the County Parks Commission has carefully considered this matter and is now recommending that the County Board adopt this Resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Richland County Board of Supervisors that approval is hereby granted for applying for and accepting a \$32,700.00 grant from DNR's County Snowmobile Trail Aids Program for the purpose of maintaining and grooming the approximately 130.8 miles of snowmobile trails in Richland County, with the grant period being from July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that U.W. Extension Agriculture/Resource Agent Steven E. Kohlstedt is hereby authorized to sign on behalf of Richland County such documents as may be necessary to carry out this Resolution, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its passage and publication.

SUPERVISOR MEMBERS OF COUNTY PARKS COMMISSION

FOR AGAINST

Carol Clausius	Х
David J. Daughenbaugh	Х
William J. Seep	Х
James Lewis	Х

Resolution No. 06-81 Approving An Expenditure To Replace The Portable Telephone System At Pine Valley Healthcare And Rehabilitation Center was read by the Clerk. Motion by Havlik, second by Clausius that Resolution No. 06-81 be adopted. Motion carried and resolution declared adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 06-81

A Resolution Approving An Expenditure To Replace The Portable Telephone System At Pine Valley Healthcare And Rehabilitation Center.

WHEREAS the Board of Trustees of Pine Valley Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center and the Administrator of Pine Valley, Kathy Cianci, have recommended that Pine Valley spend \$26,000 to purchase a new portable telephone system for use within Pine Valley, to replace the current system, which is obsolete, and

WHEREAS that Rule 17 of the Rules of the Board requires County Board approval for any expenditure at Pine Valley of \$20,000 or more.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Richland County Board of Supervisors that approval is hereby granted for the Board of Trustees of Pine Valley Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center and the Director of Pine Valley, Ms. Kathy Cianci, to spend \$26,000 to purchase a new portable telephone system for use within Pine Valley, to replace the current obsolete system, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that funds for this purchase are already in Pine Valley's account in the 2006 County budget, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its passage and publication.

RESOLUTION OFFERED BY THE COUNTY BOARD SUPERVISOR MEMBERS OF THE PINE VALLEY HEALTHCARE AND REHABILITION CENTER BOARD OF TRUSTEES

FOR	AGAINST
-----	---------

Fred Clary	Х
Ann M. Greenheck	Х
Betty Havlik	Х

Resolution No. 06-82 Amending Resolution #2004-44 Relating To Approving Grants And Contracts Relating To Richland County's Implementation Of The Smart Growth Law was read by the Clerk. Motion by

Rasmussen, second by Goplin that Resolution No. 06-82 be adopted. Motion carried and resolution declared adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 06-82

A Resolution Amending Resolution #2004-44 Relating To Approving Grants And Contracts Relating To Richland County's Implementation Of The Smart Growth Law.

WHEREAS, at its April 20, 2004 session, the County Board adopted Resolution #2004-44 which approved a number of aspects of the County's implementation of the Smart Growth law, and

WHEREAS one of the provisions of Resolution #04-44 involved the County pledging to make expenditures not to exceed \$127,500 spread over 2004, 2005 and 2006 to fulfill the County's role in the Smart Growth process, and

WHEREAS it now turns out that, while the total expenditure will not exceed \$127,500, the County will need to make these expenditures over 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007, so that Resolution #04-44 needs to be amended to allow for a 4^{th} payment in 2007.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Richland County Board of Supervisors that section 4 of Resolution #04-44 is hereby amended by adding the following underlined words and deleting the following crossed-out number:

"4. The grant will only pay part of the anticipated total cost of \$450,338 for the Southwestern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission to perform its part in this process. Richland County's share of this cost will be not to exceed \$127,500 spread out over 2004, 2005, and 2006 and 2007 and the County Board hereby pledges to appropriate sufficient amounts in each of those 3 4 years for the Zoning Committee to perform the County's role in this process in accordance with this Resolution.", and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its passage and publication.

RESOLUTION OFFERED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE

FOR AGAINST

Marilyn Marshall	Х
Carol Clausius	Х
Richard Rasmussen	Х
Bruce E. Wunnicke	Х

Resolution No. 06-83 Granting The Veterans' Service Officer The Same Across-The-Board Salary Increase Granted Recently To All Other Non-Union County Officers And Employees was read by the Clerk. Motion by Pfeil, second by Ferguson that Resolution No. 06-83 be adopted. Motion carried and resolution declared adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 06-83

A Resolution Granting The Veterans' Service Officer The Same Across-The-Board Salary Increase

Granted Recently To All Other Non-Union County Officers And Employees.

WHEREAS the position of Veterans' Service Officer, which is held by Ms. Sandra Kramer, who was first elected to that position on August 21, 2001, was not covered by the across-the-board salary increase granted by the County Board for 2006 to all other non-union County officers and employees for the reason that the salary of this position is regulated by Wisconsin Statutes, section 45.43 (3), and

WHEREAS that section of the Wisconsin Statutes provides that the salary of county veterans' service officers shall be fixed by the county board at the time of the officer's election "and annually thereafter", and Corporation Counsel Ben Southwick has given his opinion that this provision allows the County Board to adjust the salary of the Veterans' Service Officer only on each year's anniversary of that person's election and not at any other time, and

WHEREAS the Health and Human Services Board has carefully considered this matter and is now recommending that, because August is the anniversary month of the Veterans' Service Officer's election to that position, the County Board grant the Veterans' Service Officer the same across-the-board salary increase which was granted to all other non-union County officers and employees for 2006.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Richland County Board of Supervisors that approval is hereby granted to increasing the salary of the Veterans' Service Officer by 2.5% over the current salary of that position, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall be effective at the start of the first pay period after September 1, 2006.

RESOLUTION OFFERED BY THE COUNTY BOARD SUPERVISOR MEMBERS OF THE HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES BOARD

FOR AGAINST

Resolution No. 06-84 Approving The Purchase Of A New Printer For The County Clerk's Office was read by the Clerk. Motion by Carroll, second by Kirkpatrick that Resolution No. 06-84 be adopted. Roll call vote. AYES: Seep, Pfeil, Wunnicke, Goplin, Havlik, Clausius, Sowle, Ferguson, Cook, Daughenbaugh, Clary, Wyman, Wiedenfeld, Lewis, Marshall, Rasmussen, Greenheck, Gorman, Kirkpatrick, Deets, Carroll. Ayes 21. Noes 0. Total 21. Motion carried and resolution declared adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 06-84

A Resolution Approving The Purchase Of A New Printer For The County Clerk's Office.

WHEREAS the printer in the County Clerk's office which is used to print all accounts payable and payroll checks does not work properly and, due to its age, repair parts are not available, and

WHEREAS the Finance Committee recommends that the County Board approve the purchase of a new printer and related items to replace the current printer in the County Clerk's office and that an appropriation be made from the Contingency Fund for this purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Richland County Board of Supervisors that approval is hereby granted for the following purchases to be made to replace the current printer in the County Clerk's office:

Hewlitt-Packard 4350tn laser printer	\$2,071.00
Advanced Function Printing on AS 400	\$ 693.00
Hewlitt-Packard 3 year next-business-day,	
on-site support	<u>\$ 479.00</u>
Total	\$3,243.00, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this purchase shall be made from ACS Networking Services of Waite Park, Minnesota at a total cost of \$3,243.00, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that \$3,243.00 is hereby appropriated from the Contingency Fund to the County Clerk's account in the 2006 County budget to carry out this Resolution, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its passage and publication.

RESOLUTION OFFERED BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

FOR AGAINST

Bruce E. Wunnicke	X
Daniel J. Carroll	Х
Fred Clary	Х
Larry D. Wyman	Х
Jeanetta Kirkpatrick	Х

Resolution No. 06-85 Authorizing Disbursing Unexpended Funds In The Symons Natatorium's Account In The 2005 County Budget And Making An Appropriation was read by the Clerk. Motion by Wyman, second by Clary that Resolution No. 06-85 be adopted. Roll call vote. AYES: Pfeil, Wunnicke, Goplin, Havlik, Clausius, Sowle, Cook, Daughenbaugh, Clary, Wyman, Wiedenfeld, Lewis, Marshall, Rasmussen, Greenheck, Gorman, Kirkpatrick, Deets, Carroll, Seep. NOES: Ferguson. Ayes 20. Noes 1. Total 21. Motion carried and resolution declared adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 06-85

A Resolution Authorizing Disbursing Unexpended Funds In The Symons Natatorium's Account In The 2005 County Budget And Making An Appropriation.

WHEREAS, by written agreement, the County and the City of Richland Center share equally in the revenues and expenses of the Symons Natatorium, and

WHEREAS, when the County's books were closed for 2005, the Symons Natatorium had an unexpended balance of \$1,067.53 and the City Council and the Finance Committee have recommended that one-half of this sum be paid to the City and the other one-half to the County's General Fund and the Finance Committee is now presenting this Resolution to the County Board for its consideration.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Richland County Board of Supervisors that \$533.77 is hereby transferred from the Symons Natatorium's account in the 2005 County budget to the General Fund and \$533.76 from the Symons Natatorium's account in the 2005 County budget shall be paid to the City of Richland Center and the County Clerk is hereby directed to issue a check in accordance with this Resolution, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its passage and publication.

RESOLUTION OFFERED BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

FOR AGAINST

Bruce E. Wunnicke	Х
Daniel J. Carroll	Х
Fred Clary	Х
Larry D. Wyman	Х
Jeanetta Kirkpatrick	Х

Zoning Administrator Pedley reported the receipt of a petition from Dennis Jax to rezone ten acres in the Town of Henrietta from Agriculture/Forestry to Agriculture/Residential and a petition from Gordon and Marshall Keller to rezone fifteen acres in the Town of Orion from Agriculture/Forestry to Agriculture/Residential. Chairman Greenheck referred the petitions to the Zoning Committee for action.

Zoning Administrator Pedley reported that there were no rezoning petitions recommended for denial by the Zoning Committee.

County Clerk Vlasak reminded Board members of the August 1st deadline for registering to attend the Wisconsin Counties Association Annual Conference.

Motion by Ferguson, second by Sowle to submit to the Governor's Office the names of Gerald W. Cook and Bruce E. Wunnicke for consideration for the joint appointee position on the Southwestern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission as the Richland County representative for a term which would begin on December 1, 2006. Motion carried.

Chairman Greenheck noted that copies of the 2005 Annual Report for the County Fair were mailed to Board members.

Annual Report of the 2005 Richland County Fair

Receipts		Disbursements	
Administrative Income	\$ 3,402.03	Administrative Expense	\$ 3,510.23
State Aid	2,376.56	All Federal Taxes	1,878.48
County Aid	10,450.00	All State Taxes	433.12
Gate Receipts	26,323.86	Salaries	26,531.64
Grandstand Receipts	8,308.00	Superintendents and Assistants	1,467.76

Space, Privileges, Rides,		Judges	2,670.18
Shows and Utilities Sold	18,211.26	Premiums	10,472.25
Contest Entry Fees	4,515.00	Supplies, Ribbons and Trophies	1,495.73
Stall and Pen Fees	100.00	Printing, Premium Books and	
Exhibitor Entry Fees	2,290.00	Programs	397.00
Gifts	11,096.75	Advertising	2,058.40
Use of Grounds - Rentals	6,768.00	Association Dues	
Total Receipts	\$ 93,841.46	and Memberships	394.00
Cash on Hand – Last Report	33,490.00	Insurance	1,638.00
Total	\$127,331.46	Utilities	10,599.71
		Rental of Equipment	5,582.00
		Special Acts, Features and	
		Contests	19,925.00
		Maintenance Bldgs. & Grounds	9,137.58
		Plant and Equipment (Permanent)	3,732.22
		Total Disbursements	\$101,923.30
		Cash on Hand	25,408.16
		Total	\$127,331.46

Chairman Greenheck noted plans for a Karaoke Concert at Schmitt Woodland Hills in support of the Alzheimer Memory Walk.

Motion by Wyman, second by Marshall to adjourn to Tuesday, August 15, 2006 at 7:00 p.m. Motion carried.

STATE OF WISCONSIN))SS COUNTY OF RICHLAND)

I, Victor V. Vlasak, County Clerk in and for the County of Richland, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the proceedings of the County Board of Supervisors of Richland County for the July session held on July 18, 2006.

Victor V. Vlasak Richland County Clerk